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1. Welcome and Summary of Previous PIRT Meeting
   Acting PIRT Chairman Christopher Consoli of Australia welcomed participants to the
   19th meeting of the PIRT and provided a brief summary of the October 2013 PIRT
   meeting in Perth, Australia. At that meeting the PIRT reached consensus on the
   following:
   - Recommended that the South West CO2 Geosequestration Hub Project and the
     CarbonNet Project be approved by the Technical Group and be presented for
     CSLF recognition at the Policy Group meeting.
   - Recommended that the Technical Group adopt the simplified Gaps Checklist as
     part of the CSLF Project Submission Form.
   The Technical Group subsequently accepted these recommendations at its meeting in
   Perth.

2. Adoption of Meeting Agenda
   The meeting Agenda was adopted with no changes.

3. Introduction of Meeting Attendees
   PIRT meeting attendees introduced themselves. In all, fifteen CSLF delegations were
   represented at the meeting.

4. Approval of Meeting Summary from Perth PIRT Meeting
   The Meeting Summary from the October 2012 PIRT meeting in Perth was approved as
   final with no changes.

5. Report from CSLF Secretariat
   John Panek reported that there are two newly-completed CSLF-recognized projects, the
   IEA GHG Weyburn-Midale CO2 Monitoring and Storage Project and the Demonstration
   of an Oxyfuel Combustion System Project. There are currently 27 active and 12
   completed CSLF-recognized projects. Mr. Panek also stated that three additional projects
   have been nominated for CSLF recognition at this meeting.

6. Review and Approval of Projects Nominated for CSLF Recognition
   The following three projects had been nominated for CSLF recognition:
   - Uthmaniyah CO2-EOR Demonstration Project (nominated by Saudi Arabia and
     the United States)
   - Alberta Carbon Trunk Line (nominated by Canada and the United States)
   - UNIS CO2 Lab (nominated by Norway and the United States)
   Presentations on each of these projects were made by representatives of the project
   sponsors.

   Uthmaniyah CO2-EOR Demonstration Project
   Ali Al-Meshari, Overall Carbon Management Coordinator of Carbon Strategy for Saudi
   Aramco, gave a presentation about the Uthmaniyah CO2-EOR Project. This large-scale
   project, located in the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia, will capture and store
   approximately 800,000 tonnes of CO2 per year from a natural gas production and
   processing facility, and will include pipeline transportation of approximately 70
   kilometers to the injection site (a small flooded area in the Uthmaniyah Field). The
objectives of the project are determination of incremental oil recovery (beyond water flooding), estimation of sequestered CO2, addressing the risks and uncertainties involved (including migration of CO2 within the reservoir), and identifying operational concerns. Specific CO2 monitoring objectives include developing a clear assessment of the CO2 potential (for both EOR and overall storage) and testing new technologies for CO2 monitoring. Construction of the capture facility and the pipeline is underway. The project duration is expected to be 4-5 years total, starting in 2013/2014.

After brief discussion, there was consensus by the PIRT to recommend approval of the Uthmaniyah CO2-EOR Demonstration Project by the Technical Group.

Alberta Carbon Trunk Line

Stefan Bachu, representing project sponsor Enhance Energy Inc., gave a presentation about the Alberta Carbon Trunk Line (ACTL) Project. This large-scale fully-integrated project will collect CO2 from two industrial sources (a fertilizer plant and an oil sands upgrading facility) in Canada’s Province of Alberta industrial heartland and transport it via a 240-kilometer pipeline to depleted hydrocarbon reservoirs in central Alberta for utilization and storage in EOR projects. The pipeline is designed for a capacity of 14.6 million tonnes CO2 per year although it is being initially licensed at 5.5 million tonnes per year. The pipeline route is expected to stimulate EOR development in Alberta and may eventually lead to a broad CO2 pipeline network throughout central and southern Alberta. Pipeline right-of-way clearing began in February 2013 with commissioning expected in 2014 and start of operations in 2015. When in full operation, this will be the world’s largest CCS project in terms of capacity.

After brief discussion, there was consensus by the PIRT to recommend approval of the Alberta Carbon Trunk Line Project by the Technical Group.

UNIS CO2 Lab

Alvar Braathen, Professor of Arctic Geology at the University Centre in Svalbard (UNIS), gave a presentation about the UNIS CO2 Lab Project. This research-oriented project is located near Longyearbyen, Norway, in the Svalbard Archipelago (78°N latitude) and is intended to identify challenges for CCS and study CO2 storage in an unconventional reservoir under difficult arctic conditions. The project includes research on storability of CO2 at Svalbard, including injection tests, geologic analyses, and studies on cap rock integrity, as well as design of collegiate-level courses on CO2 storage and other educational outreach. However, uncertainty about project funding and also the future of the existing coal-fueled power station at Longyearbyen has resulted in the project having to plan for three different scenarios: full CO2 capture from the power plant; small-scale CO2 capture involving development of a pilot plant; and no CO2 capture, which would result in the project emphasizing its educational aspects.

Ensuing discussion centered on the uncertainty about the project’s future. In the end, there was consensus by the PIRT to defer further action on this project until the next PIRT meeting when it might be known which of the three paths the project would take.

7. Review of CSLF Project Submission Form

Dr. Consoli provided a brief history of the Project Submission Form. It originated at the November 2006 Technical Group Meeting in London, as a means for the PIRT to gather sufficient information to make informed decisions on whether or not to recommend approval of projects nominated for CSLF recognition. One of the features of the Form is
a Gaps Checklist, which was revised at the October 2012 PIRT meeting in Perth to a concise one-page listing and subsequently approved by the Technical Group. Dr. Consoli mentioned that other parts of the Form are also now in need of updating, as the focus of the CSLF has transitioned from research and pilot projects to large-scale demonstrations of CCS technologies. To that end, the CSLF Secretariat prepared a document that suggested areas on the Form where revisions may be needed.

Of the areas recommended for revision, there was no consensus to eliminate the “Project Elements” and “Relevance to Gaps Analysis” sections, while there was agreement to revise the “Information Availability” section to eliminate the request for projects sponsors to provide information about the relevance of the project to the overall aims of the CSLF and to CCS technology in general. There was also agreement to re-title the Gaps Analysis Checklist as the “CSLF Project Elements Checklist” (as some of the items in the list are not gaps) and to allow project nominators to email the Secretariat their intention to nominate as an acceptable alternative to a signature on the Form. Action on one other area of the Form, the section on “Information Availability”, was deferred because of its complexity and due to time constraints. Dr. Consoli stated that alternative language for this section would be worked out via email exchange between PIRT members and would be reported at the next PIRT meeting. There was agreement that the current version of the Project Submission Form would continue to be used pending agreement on a complete revision of the Form.

8. Discussion of Knowledge-Sharing from CSLF-Recognized Projects

Dr. Consoli mentioned that this item was on the PIRT Agenda as a follow-up to the October 2012 Technical Group Meeting in Perth, where the Technical Group deferred activity on the “Best Practices Knowledge Sharing” action of the Technical Group’s Action Plan because the Global Carbon Capture and Storage Institute (GCCSI) is already active in this area. Since then, the GCCSI has proposed the creation of a new “Knowledge Hub” website that could be “co-branded” with the CSLF and would serve as a gateway to a broad range of information on CCS technologies. This would include connections to other knowledge-sharing sites such as the European CCS Demonstration Project Network. Dr. Consoli stated that the GCCSI Knowledge Hub website could also include a CSLF Members-Only section, if desirable, and that any material posted to this website would be translated into multiple languages.

Ensuing discussion gave a favorable review to this concept and there was consensus that the PIRT would take on any activities related to the Action Plan’s “Best Practices Knowledge Sharing” action. However, in light of the amount of detail that would have to be worked out with the GCCSI to implement any co-branded knowledge sharing activity, further consideration of the GCCSI’s “Knowledge Hub” concept was deferred until the next PIRT meeting.

9. Adjourn

Dr. Consoli stated that due to time constraints, the agenda item on possible other future roles for the PIRT in the Technical Group Action Plan would be deferred until the next PIRT meeting. Dr. Consoli thanked the attendees for their participation and adjourned the meeting.
Summary of Consensus Reached

- The PIRT recommends approval by the Technical Group for the Uthmaniyah CO$_2$-EOR Project and the Alberta Carbon Trunk Line Project.
- Consideration of the UNIS CO$_2$ Lab Project is deferred until the next PIRT meeting.
- Continue use of the current CSLF Project Submission Form pending agreement on a complete revision to the Form.
- The PIRT would take on any activities related to the Technical Group Action Plan’s “Best Practices Knowledge Sharing” action.
- Consideration of the Knowledge Hub proposal is deferred until the next PIRT meeting.