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Academic task force

- Organised under the Policy Group
- USA and Mexico in lead
- Last activity
  - Workshop in London 2016
  - Recommendations given to the PG
- Discussed that this could be an initiative under TG
Motivation PG (2015/2016)

• Re-establishing the Academic Taskforce (2015) by fostering and supporting:
  – International CCS collaborations
  – International research exchanges
  – CCS summer schools, short courses, etc.
  – International networks

• Activities mostly ad hoc and not coordinated
Activities

• Baseline survey on CCS academic research programs

• Establishment of the CSLF Academic Council consisting of government CSLF representatives, IEAGHG and GCCSI
  – Identified initial set of priority areas for discussion with goal of firm recommendations and action plan from Council at CSLF Annual Meeting

• Workshop in London 2016
Recommendations

• Utilize existing resources and linkages
  – Leverage established connections, programs, and entities and avoid duplication of effort to maximise effectiveness of additional resource inputs
  – Foster new connections between existing facilities, experts, and sectors
  – Streamline and focus on best practices
  – CSLF can act as a global repository for information
  – Showcase talent and technologies

• Focus on priority areas:
  – Training and Academic Resources
  – Communications
  – Capacity Building
Discussions

• Is there an interest in the academic community for engagement with the CSLF Technical Group?
• If so, what kinds of outcomes would be desirable, given that the CSLF is not a funding organization?
• What kinds of activities are actually do-able, given the constraints of available time and resources?
• What can be accomplished prior to the next CSLF TG meeting in early November?
• What kind of ongoing interaction would the academic community like to have with the Technical Group?
• Are there activities that could feed in to measuring the progress of the TRM
• Who would take the lead?