



International Overview of CCU Symposium: conclusions and recommendations

David Savary, Didier Bonijoly (Club CO₂ / France)
CSLF Annual Meeting / Technical Group Meeting
Melbourne, Australia
October 17 2018



Agenda



1. Actions of French CO₂ Utilization Working Group (Club CO₂)
2. Lessons learnt from the “International Overview of CCU Symposium” (Paris, France, July 2nd 2018)
3. Final Conclusions of the Symposium



1. Actions of Club CO₂'s French CO₂ Utilization Working Group

Stakeholders and Objectives:

- Working Group of Club CO₂
- 24 members: industries (Majors and SMEs), public bodies (national and regional-level), public research
- Started in 2013
- Objective:
 - **Sharing** on CO₂ utilization technologies and their potential
 - **Aligning** on key learnings
 - **Mainstreaming** recommendations on CO₂ Valorisation for France





1. Actions of Club CO₂'s French CO₂ Utilization Working Group

11 actions completed or ongoing:



**SWOT
Analyses**



**Recommendations
for COP21**

Task 10 – Assessment of Environmental benefits of CCU

- Workshop in Paris, March 30th 2018
- International CCU Symposium, Paris, July 2nd 2018



**2 CO₂ Util^{on}
Workshops
(2015, 2016)**



- **Mapping of French Stakeholders**
- **Brochure of labs activities**

Task 9 – Video on CCU
→ On-going



2. Lesson learned from the International CCU Symposium

Facts & Figures

- **Paris, July 2nd 2018; 150 attendees** ; Symposium held before ISO TC/265 Paris' meeting
- **Introduction:**
 - **European context and regulatory framework:** Implications for research and innovation, EC-DG RTD
 - **Potential global market of CCU**, Global CO₂ Initiative
- **1 plenary session with a review per country** of:
 - Policies in terms of GHG emissions reduction targets
 - Actors in CCUS
 - Key projects
 - Misc. Topics: international initiatives, questions,...
 - 11 countries: Australie, South Korea, China, India (not present but slide deck available), Germany, The Netherlands, Norway, France, UK, Mexico (webex), Canada
- **Conclusions by IEA**



2. Lesson learned from the International CCU Symposium

Facts & Figures

- **Status of LCA guidelines for CCU:**
 - EU-Methodology for quantifying GHG for fuels from CCU (JRC)
 - US-LCA Guidelines for CCU (NETL, webex)
 - International-LCA guidelines from CO₂ Global Initiative (Aachen University)
- **1 Workshop session:**
 - 4 teams working on LCA barriers for CO₂-to-fuels, chemicals, mineralization, bioconversion
 - 1 team working on standardization
- More : **Zone poster of French CCU projects + Brochure of French labs working on CO₂ utilization**
- 88% of attendees satisfied or very satisfied by the symposium



2. Lesson learned from the International CCU Symposium

Review of Countries (Examples)

Country	Key fact / project about CCU
France	<p>VALORCO: CO₂ conversion technologies with direct flue gases or CO₂ captured from steel plant</p> <p>JUPITER1000: Demonstration of massive renewable energy storage into the transmission gas grid via production of gas via electrolysis of H₂O and an industrial source of CO₂</p> <p>CRYOCAP: cryogenic CO₂ capture into a Steam Methane Reformer</p> <p>Several CCU projects at pilot scale with industrial symbiosis (eg: VASCO2,...)</p>
UK	<p>CCUS Programme: 20M£ for Demo-scale projects, 15M£ for open call, 4.4 M£+6.5 M£ for ERANET Call 1 & 2</p>
Mexico	<p>In 2018, the Department of Energy launched the Mexican CCUS Centre.</p> <p>Among other projects: Carbon Capture Pilot Project (CCPP) on Poza Rica NGCC plant</p>



2. Lesson learned from the International CCU Symposium

Reco #1	Define application and local market before LCA to serve as a basis for the definition of the "Goal and Scope" (System boundaries, function, functional unit).
Reco #2	Use LCA at the beginning of the development of technologies to screen opportunities and provide solutions. It is not the final analysis to perform after technology development at TRL9.
Reco #3	Assess two different references (to be compared with the CCU-scenario): <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The current, most available process/technology,2. And an environmentally competitive solution even if it's currently not economically viable.
Reco #4	Make available more specific & reliable data: eg: CO ₂ captured, data of CO ₂ utilization processes, hydrogen,...
Reco #5	Do not focus only on global warming potential when assessing impacts but take into account others (eg : land use, human toxicity, resource depletion, etc.) because transfer of impact may occur. This assessment will be communicated to scientific community . Specifically regarding CO ₂ , there is a need to figure out : 1. The amount of CO ₂ utilized into the process 2. The CO ₂ avoided into the process 3. The GWP (considering upstream).





2. Lesson learned from the International CCU Symposium

Reco #6	Agree on an aggregation method of impacts or, at least, agree on methodologies of aggregation This assessment will be used for policy makers to make arbitrages between technologies.
Reco #7	Allocate impacts over the whole value chain from the emitter to the actor utilizing CO ₂ : there is a need to define economic value/penalty and environmental benefits/burdens , and to share these values. Make integrated assessments (economic and environmental) even for low-TRL technologies.
Reco #8	Define ISO technical prescriptions of processes, properties and performances of products.
Reco #9	Define ISO standard addressing goal and scope. Technical prescriptions and standards may help to create a label for CO₂-based products/services.





3. Conclusions of the Symposium

- **CCUS plays a key role** in achieving global climate targets: 15% to achieve 2°C, 32% to be below 2°C.
- The **amount of CO₂ utilised and geologically stored is limited** compared to global anthropogenic CO₂ emissions.
- **CO₂ utilization is a subject for many countries** linked to climate policies ; most of them plan to **support research and demonstration** projects in order to encourage new technologies and to improve their performances
- Eg : EU involvements:
 1. Horizon **H2020** (240 M€ EU contribution), **Horizon Europe** (35G€ for tackling climate change)
 2. Inputs of **SAM** (EC Scientific Advisory Mechanism) based on existing research on the climate mitigation potential of CCU technologies
 3. **ERANET ACT CCUS** : international initiative to facilitate innovation, coordinated by Norway
 4. Initiative **Phoenix** on CCU: main goal is to link national and European RD&I activities
 5. **ECCSEL** gathers world-class research infrastructure in Europe for developing CCS technologies.
 6. **Mission Innovation**



3. Conclusions of the Symposium

- **No CO₂ utilisation options** are available today that **meet the 3 criteria** proposed by IEA (emission reduction, economic viability, market)
- However, according to Global CO₂ Initiative, **market insights are promising**:
 - By 2030 potential to utilize over 6 billion metric tons of CO₂ per year / generate \$1US trillion/year.
 - Significant progress towards scalable technologies is needed.
 - Building materials, chemical intermediaries, fuels and polymers represent the biggest markets.
- **CO₂ utilization addresses political and public acceptance drawbacks of CCS.**
- Technologies of **utilization and storage must be developed and deployed in parallel** and not opposed.



Questions ?

david.savary@solvay.com

d.bonijoly@brgm.fr

